## Extract only - student evidence is in italics

Academic purpose for reading this text: to discuss and make recommendations to agencies about migrants and asylum seekers [1].

Myth: The flood of immigrants is unsustainable.

What are two reasons why the British should NOT be concerned about migration figures in their country?

i. The number of migrants arriving to Britain was more or less the same as the number of people leaving [2].

ii. ...

According to the text, what are at least two main differences in the way asylum seekers and migrants are treated upon arrival in the UK? Give a **reason** for each answer.

- i. ...Reason: ...
- iii: The rules governing the entry of non-EU immigrants are more stringent while it is more free to EU citizens across UK[2].

Reason: There are lots of requirement for asylum seekers such as bank statements and exam results and repetitive process such as regular intervals. This is a way for the government to ensure that they're not causing any threat towards Europeans since they don't know the background of those asylum seekers [4].

## Myth: The flood of immigrants is unsustainable

"The past decade has seen higher net numbers of migrants. However, rather than being unsustainable, this migration is actually vital [5] for the functioning of our society (the UK)."

i) What does this text imply about the benefits of the higher rate of migration in the UK? (Make sure you use your own words.)

The higher rate of migration provide more tax revenues and services [5]. This is helping build UK's economy in a way [4].

ii) What inference or conclusion can you make about possible effects of a lower rate of migration for the British society?

Dorling says that "Britain has too little immigration." [6] She thinks that Britain must have more people to pay taxes and provide and use services and immigration is a way of getting these to grow [3]. So the economy might be degenerated because of a lower rate of migration in Britain [6].

## Myth: They come here for our generous welfare system

Compare the views of the author and the right wing parties on the immigrants' dependence on the British welfare system and services. Provide evidence for each of your answers.

- i) Difference: Right wing parties [7]think that asylum seekers' intention coming to UK is for the welfare system. However, the author [7]uses a research by Home office to clarify that there was NO EVIDENCE supporting that they know about the UK benefits system before they came. Besides, some people don't even know where their destination will be when they were escaping on a boat or something. It was already a fortune for them being safe [8].
- ii) Difference: ... Therefore, asylum seekers don't really gain many benefits from welfare system. Conversely, their situation is somehow even worse than the poor British [8].
- iii) Difference: ...

## Based on this information, make recommendations to the UK government and/or agencies on the treatment of migrants and asylum seekers.

Recommendation in own words	Evidence: may include direct quotes or paraphrases
The welfare system should provide	5.23 pounds a day makes it very hard for asylum seekers to
more money for asylum seekers	survive. But some refugees are too scared to return to their
	countries so loads of them who can't get benefits are
	destitute in UK.
Treatment in detention centres for	"hundreds of cases of abuse of detainees at the hands of
asylum seekers should be better so	security guards during detention." Children get detained if
that basic human rights are given [9]	their parents are. This is wrong as this kind of abuse isn't
	acceptable in any other system like jail.