|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Alternative Evidence Gathering Template – Internal Assessment** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |  | |
| These templates must only be used to record student achievement and report results where remote assessment is the only practical option and the collection of direct assessment evidence from students has not been at all possible. ‘Alternative Evidence’ is student evidence for internally assessed standards that has been seen or heard within the teaching and learning programme. These templates do not signal a reduction in what is accepted for each grade, but rather a means of summarising evidence for reporting. These templates must be viewed in conjunction with the standard and assessment advice forwarded to schools to ensure that valid, credible and reliable assessment and learning has occurred before the standard is awarded. While physical evidence of student work does not need to be attached, the assessor decisions made must also be verified internally before reporting results. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|  | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Student ID | | Student 1 | | | | | | | | | | | Subject | Home Economics | | Level | 3 |
| Notes | |  | | | | | | | | | | | Standard No. | 91468 | | Version | 2 |
| Standard Title | | Analyse a food related ethical dilemma for New Zealand society | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Credits | 5 |
|  | | | | | | | | | | | | |  | | |  | |
| **Achieved** | | | | | | | | | **Merit** | | | | | | **Excellence** | | |
| Analyse a food related ethical dilemma for New Zealand society. | | | | | | | | | Analyse, in depth, a food related ethical dilemma for New Zealand society. | | | | | | Analyse comprehensively a food related ethical dilemma for New Zealand society. | | |
|  | | | | | | | | | | | | |  | | |  | |
| **Key requirements (list):** | | | | | | A | | | M | | | E | **Describe or attach the evidence considered.** | | | **Explain how the judgement was made.** | |
| Explains the nature of the ethical dilemma. | | | | | |  | | |  | | |  |  | | |  | |
| Explains contrasting viewpoints associated with the dilemma. | | | | | |  | | |  | | |  |  | | |  | |
| Explains how the ethical dilemma may affect New Zealand society. | | | | | |  | | |  | | |  |  | | |  | |
| Explores the underlying beliefs, values and practices associated with those holding the viewpoints. | | | | | |  | | |  | | |  |  | | |  | |
| Explains how the underlying beliefs, values and practices influence viewpoints relating to the ethical dilemma. | | | | | |  | | |  | | |  |  | | |  | |
| Critically evaluates the viewpoints of different stakeholders. | | | | | |  | | |  | | |  |  | | |  | |
| Outlines some possible consequences and challenges for New Zealand society. | | | | | |  | | |  | | |  |  | | |  | |
|  | | | | |  | |  | | |  | | |  | | |  | |
| **Sufficiency statement** | | | | | | | | | | | | | **Internal Verification** | | | | |
| Achievement | All of A is required | | | | | | | | | | | | Assessor: Date: | | | | |
| Merit | All of A and M is required | | | | | | | | | | | | Verifier: Date: | | | | |
| Excellence | All of A, M and E is required | | | | | | | | | | | | Verifier’s school: | | | | |
| MARK OVERALL GRADE | | | N | A | | | | M | | | E | | Comments: | | | | |

For the purpose of national external moderation:

* only six WORD templates are required where available
* samples are not required to be randomly selected
* there should be one each of N, A, M, E and up to 2 others
* descriptions of evidence and explanations of judgements are not required for all other students, and a spreadsheet may be used.