Information for Editors/Uploaders: Exemplar

Exemplar Details

Subject	Japanese
Level	3
Achievement Standard Number &	91554 3.2
Standard Identifier (e.g. 90647 1.4)	
Standard Title	Give a clear spoken presentation in
	Japanese that communicates a critical
	response to stimulus material.

Student Work Details: File Names

Student 1 – Low Excellence	Exemplar A Student 1
Student 2 – High Merit	Exemplar B Student 2
Student 3 – Low Merit	Exemplar A Student 3
Student 4 – High Achieved	Exemplar B Student 4
Student 5 – Low Achieved	Exemplar B Student 5
Student 6 – High Not Achieved	Exemplar A Student 6

Student Work	Details	Permission Slips (for video)
Student 1 – Low Excellence	Student 1 existing 91554 resource A Time codes	Yes No N/A(not necessary)
	0:42 'hotonndo no mannga wa' to 'gaikokujinmo yomemasu'1:06	
	1:36 'takusan no' to 'naraemasu' 1:56	
Student 2 – High Merit	Student 2 existing 91554 resource B	Permission slip (not necessary)
Student 3 – Low Merit	Student 3 existing 91554 resource A	Permission slip (not necessary)
	Time codes	
	Beginning to 'stone spa ni ittemimashita' 0:18 0:44'maguma stone' to 'tokubetuna ishi wo tsukaimasu' 0:48	
	0:29 'stone spa to' to 'oyasumi shimasu' 0:55	

	1:14 'stone spa' to 'abimasen	
	deshita'1:52	
Student 4 – High Achieved	Student 4 existing 91554	Permission slip (not
	resource B	necessary)
	Time codes	
	0:23 'futsuu no hoteru' to	
	'takusan no hito ga	
	tsukaimasu' 0:46	
	0:50 'kaeru no densya' to	
	'naku naru to tomarimasu'	
	0:55	
	0.55	
	1.40 (m/// ii ron do iin'	
	1:42 'nyu-ji-ran-do-jin' to'tomari taidesu' 1:59	
	to tomari taidesu 1:59	
Student 5 – Low Achieved	Student 5 existing 91554	Permission slip (not necessary)
	resource B	necessary)
	Time codes	
	0:12 'okyakusan ni hitsuyou	
	na ' to 'ie ni kaerimasen' 0:45	
Student 6 – High Not Achieved	Student 6 existing 91554	Permission slip (not
	resource A	necessary)
	Time codes	
	1:15 'nyu-ji-ran-do de' to	
	'omoshiro sou to omoimasu	
	1:42	

Additional Notes (e.g. more detail about the location of files if existing work is to be re-used)

NAMs: you do not need to enter anything into the grey box below or the next header **page** - your Team Leader and/or the Assessment and Moderation Services team will complete these. Please proceed to complete the student commentaries for each grade boundary in the template on page 3.

Admin Purposes: Assessment and Moderation Services			
Team Leader		Date Submitted ARM	
Location of Commentary File Please describe alternative location if not S: Drive (e.g. email, CD, DVD, USB)		S: Drive	
Location of Student Work Files Please describe alternative location if not S: Drive (e.g. email, CD, DVD, USB)		S: Drive	
Permission Slips Please type in Y/N if applicable			
Dates Completed:			
ARM Checks	3/07/15	Editor Approval	
Uploading		Publication	



National Certificate of Educational Achievement TAUMATA MĀTAURANGA Ā-MOTU KUA TAEA

Exemplar for Internal Achievement Standard

Japanese Level 3

This exemplar supports assessment against:

Achievement Standard 91544

Give a clear spoken presentation in Japanese that communicates a critical response to stimulus material.

An annotated exemplar is an extract of student evidence, with a commentary, to explain key aspects of the standard. It assists teachers to make assessment judgements at the grade boundaries.

New Zealand Qualifications Authority

To support internal assessment

	Grade Boundary: Low Excellence
1.	For Excellence, the student needs to give a clear, effective spoken presentation in Japanese that communicates a critical response to stimulus material.
	This involves explaining and justifying a viewpoint, in Japanese that is controlled and integrated. There will be capable selection and successful use of a range of language and language features. Critical response will include analysis, interpretation or evaluation.
	Communication will not be hindered by inconsistencies.
	The student capably selects and uses a range of language and language features, e.g. し,ので,について,という,とおもう and ように, as well as the potential form of the verb.
	The student communicates a critical response to stimulus material, e.g. ほとんどのまんがはかんたんだし, よみやすいし, こわいえいがたくさんあるから, こどもやわかものににんきだとおもいます.
	The presentation explains and justifies a viewpoint, e.g. そしてまんががこくさい てきになって英語のまんががありますから外国人も読めます. たくさんの日本ド ラマを見ながらたくさんの日本のぶんかと日本のせいかつもならえます. わたし はドラマをみるときサブタイトルとみますからあたらしい日本語のたんごうもな らえます.
	For a more secure Excellence, there would be more consistent evidence of capable selection and use of language, e.g. avoiding the problems with \prec forms and verb endings.

	Grade Boundary: High Merit
2.	For Merit, the student needs to give a clear, convincing spoken presentation in Japanese that communicates a critical response to stimulus material.
	This involves explaining and justifying a viewpoint, in Japanese that is credible and connected. There will be a range of language and language features. Critical response will include analysis, interpretation or evaluation.
	Communication will not be significantly hindered by inconsistencies.
	The student shows evidence of evaluation of the stimulus materials by talking about a caption on capsule hotels as seen on YouTube. The speaker gives a personal viewpoint that the concept of such a hotel is interesting and that they would not mind staying in one - especially after a late night out.
	To reach Excellence, there needs to be additional evidence of controlled and integrated language that is appropriately and successfully selected, e.g. あたたかいベッドがすごいと思います. Presumably it is not just in capsule hotels that a warm bed is great.
	Further the rapid delivery, and pronunciation issues will have less impact on communication of the message.

	Grade Boundary: Low Merit
3.	For Merit, the student needs to give a clear, convincing spoken presentation in Japanese that communicates a critical response to stimulus material.
	This involves explaining and justifying a viewpoint, in Japanese that is credible and connected. There will be a range of language and language features. Critical response will include analysis, interpretation or evaluation.
	Communication will not be significantly hindered by inconsistencies.
	The student selects and uses a range of language and language features that are fit for the purpose, e.g. きいたことがありますか はじめてストンスパにいってみました ~というとくべつないしをつかいます.
	The student communicates a critical response to stimulus material, e.g. ストンスパ といいますけどスパとちがいま. す水をつかないでマグマストンというとくべつないしを つかいます. 大きいいしの上によこになっておやすみします.
	The presentation explains and justifies a viewpoint, e.g. サウナよりからだにいいで すあせをもっとかきますけどにおいがしていません. おもしろいですね. 日本語の先生がこ のあせは体に本当にいいと言っていましたからシャーワをあびませんでした.
	The language is generally credible and connected and communication is not significantly hindered by inconsistencies.
	For a more secure Merit, inconsistencies such as the pronunciation of some items of language and tense problems would have less impact on communication of the message.
	Further, for a more secure Merit, there could be additional evidence of development, e.g. the viewpoint of the Japanese teacher regarding the benefits of stone spas compared to ordinary saunas could have been developed, explaining the differences between a traditional European sauna and the Japanese stone spa.

	Grade Boundary: High Achieved
4.	For Achieved, the student needs to give a clear spoken presentation in Japanese that communicates a critical response to stimulus material.
	This involves explaining and justifying a viewpoint. Critical response will include analysis, interpretation or evaluation.
	Communication will be achieved overall, despite inconsistencies.
	There is successful use of language to explain and justify a view point, e.g. ふつうのホテル よりもやすいし 町の中にあるし とまりやすいのでたくさんの人 がつかいます. 帰るのでんしゃ がなくなるととまります.
	A critical response is given, e.g. ニュージーランド人はカプセルホテルがすきじゃ ないだと思います。でももし私は日本に行くととまりたいです.
	To reach Merit, inconsistencies such as カプセルホテルは小さいへやですやさー やすいし おとこのひとはうえですちいさすぎますからです will not significantly hinder communication.

	Grade Boundary: Low Achieved
5.	For Achieved, the student needs to give a clear spoken presentation in Japanese that communicates a critical response to stimulus material.
	This involves explaining and justifying a viewpoint. Critical response will include analysis, interpretation or evaluation.
	Communication will be achieved overall, despite inconsistencies.
	The student gives a critical response to the YouTube caption seen on capsule hotels in Japan. There is analysis and interpretation of information on these Hotels, e.g. おきゃくさんがひつようなサービスがやすいです. べんりのでたくさんのひとがつかいます and ほとんどのおきゃくさんはおさけをのみすぎましたからいえにかえりません.
	A personal viewpoint is given (the student wants to stay in one) and the basic rules of the hotel are given: 入る前にくつをぬいで .
	For a more secure Achieved, there would be additional evidence of language at Level 8 of the New Zealand Curriculum to support analysis, interpretation or evaluation.
	Further, pronunciation and language inconsistencies should impact less on the overall understanding of the message.

	Grade Boundary: High Not Achieved
6.	For Achieved, the student needs to give a clear spoken presentation in Japanese that communicates a critical response to stimulus material.
	This involves explaining and justifying a viewpoint. Critical response will include analysis, interpretation or evaluation.
	Communication will be achieved overall, despite inconsistencies.
	The student communicates a critical response to stimulus material as well as justifying a view point, e.g. ニュージーランドで日本人が たくさんいますから私は ニュージーランドですもかくことすると思います. ニュージーランド人はすもを きようそうです. せいようのレスリングより日本のすもうをけびしいですが, すもうはおもしろそうと思います.
	The student does include some appropriate language and language features, e.g. '日本に行ったら みるつもりです.'
	To reach Achieved, inconsistencies will not hinder communication, e.g. かくとしました, ニュージーランドですも, けびしいですがはげししくて, てんとうてき.