Mana Tohu Mātauranga o Aotearoa
New Zealand Qualifications Authority

Review of Achievement Standards (RAS) Exemplar

Level 1 Commerce

Achievement Standard 92030

Demonstrate understanding of interdependent financial relationships

High Achievement

TOTAL 4

Use the case study scenario you reviewed in class to answer this assessment.

QUESTION ONE

(a) (i) Describe the financial interdependence between the sugary food factory and the supermarket.

B I U Spell Check

The sugary food company is dependent on the supermarket for income when they sell them the sugary food and drinks. And the supermarket is dependent on the sugary food factory for sugary foods and drinks which they pay for.

(ii) Describe the financial interdependence between the whānau and the sugary food factory.

B I U Spell Check

The whanau is depedent on the sugary food factory for sugary food and drinks for when they go shopping and want to purchase a sugary food or drink. When they purchase the sugary food or drink that then goes to the factory for income. The factory is dependent on the whanau to purchase their good so they can make money.

(iii) Describe the financial interdependence between the sugary food factory and the bank.

B I U Spell Check

The sugary food factory is dependent on the bank for a loan so they can get their equipment to make the food and drinks. An the bank is dependent on the food factory for their part of interest.

(b) Describe a direct effect the government's decision will have on the sugary food factory.

B I U Spell Check

The governments decision means that as the price for sugary food and drinks increases then the amount of sugary food and drinks will decrease which leaves the sugary food factory with less profit because of how much they are being taxed. However this is all to encourage the whanau to eat better and be healthier.

(c) Select TWO of the interdependent financial relationships described in Question One (a) above, and explain the flow-on effects of the government's decision on the interdependent financial relationship.

Relationship (click the arrow to select): Factory and bank

Flow-on effects of the government's decision:

Spell Check

The sugary foods factoy looks to open another factory to produce a different type of food such as pies, sausage rolls etc. This way they can still make income even if their sugary foods factory doesnt make as much income, but will aslo need to hire more staff. This means they will have to be dependent on the bank to get another loan, and the bank will most likey have interest in their factory.

Relationship (click the arrow to select): Factory and supermarket

Flow-on effects of the government's decision:

Spell Check

The sugary foods factory ends up making another factory for savouries and is now dependent on the supermarket to sell the products so they can make income. And the supermarket is now dependent on the savoury factory for pies, sausage rolls etc.

(d) Discuss the implications of the flow-on effects you explained in Question One (c) above, on TWO interdependent financial relationships.

Note: **Implications** involve decisions made because of the flow-on effects.

You can choose any interdependent financial relationships from the case study scenario, they do not need to have been used in Question One (a), (b), or (c).

First relationship:

The factory and the supermarket

Implications of flow-on effects:

Spell Check

The supermarket will now sell more healthiers goods to make profit. An the sugary foods factory will have to make their sugary foods less unhealthy so they can sell more and not get taxed as much.

Second relationship: The whanau and the supermarket

Implications of flow-on effects:

Spell Check

The whanau will now purchase more healthier goods because the supermarket isnt selling as much sugary food and drinks which means the whanau will at healthier and better.

<table>
<tr><th>Subject</th><td>Commerce</td><th>Standard</th><td>92030</td><th>Total score</th><td>4</td></tr>
</table>

<table>
<tr><th>Q</th><th>Grade score</th><th>Annotation</th></tr>
<tr><td>1</td><td>A4</td><td>

described at least two interdependent financial relationships
described the direct effect of the tax on the sugar factory.

Did not achieve merit because:

In part (d):

did not explain how and why the tax has flow-on effects on the interdependent financial relationships but gave decisions as a result of any flow on effects
did not relate to the interdependent financial relationship described in the earlier question

no other evidence in other questions that could substitute for Merit evidence.

</td></tr>
</table>