|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Alternative Evidence Gathering Template – Internal Assessment** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |  | |
| These templates must only be used to record student achievement and report results where remote assessment is the only practical option and the collection of direct assessment evidence from students has not been at all possible. ‘Alternative Evidence’ is student evidence for internally assessed standards that has been seen or heard within the teaching and learning programme. These templates do not signal a reduction in what is accepted for each grade, but rather a means of summarising evidence for reporting. These templates must be viewed in conjunction with the standard and assessment advice forwarded to schools to ensure that valid, credible and reliable assessment and learning has occurred before the standard is awarded. While physical evidence of student work does not need to be attached, the assessor decisions made must also be verified internally before reporting results. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|  | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Student ID | | Student 1 | | | | | | | | | | | Subject | Generic Technology | | Level | 2 |
| Notes | |  | | | | | | | | | | | Standard No. | 91362 | | Version | 4 |
| Standard Title | | Demonstrate understanding of the nature of technological outcomes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Credits | 4 |
|  | | | | | | | | | | | | |  | | |  | |
| **Achieved** | | | | | | | | | **Merit** | | | | | | **Excellence** | | |
| Demonstrate understanding of the nature of technological outcomes. | | | | | | | | | Demonstrate in-depth understanding of the nature of technological outcomes. | | | | | | Demonstrate comprehensive understanding of the nature of technological outcomes. | | |
|  | | | | | | | | | | | | |  | | |  | |
| **Key requirements (list):** | | | | | | A | | | M | | | E | **Describe or attach the evidence considered.** | | | **Explain how the judgement was made.** | |
| Explaining design elements as they relate to the physical and/or functional attributes of a technological outcome. | | | | | |  | | |  | | |  |  | | |  | |
| Explaining how the physical and functional attributes contributed to the overall nature of a technological outcome. | | | | | |  | | |  | | |  |  | | |  | |
| Explaining how design elements appear to have been prioritised in a technological outcome. | | | | | |  | | |  | | |  |  | | |  | |
| Explaining the fitness for purpose of a technological outcome as related to its physical and functional nature and the environment where it is located. | | | | | |  | | |  | | |  |  | | |  | |
| Discussing how the fitness for purpose of a technological outcome is related to its physical and functional nature and the environment where it is located. | | | | | |  | | |  | | |  |  | | |  | |
|  | | | | |  | |  | | |  | | |  | | |  | |
| **Sufficiency statement** | | | | | | | | | | | | | **Internal Verification** | | | | |
| Achievement | All of A must be ticked | | | | | | | | | | | | Assessor: Date: | | | | |
| Merit | All of A and M must be ticked | | | | | | | | | | | | Verifier: Date: | | | | |
| Excellence | All of A, M and E must be ticked | | | | | | | | | | | | Verifier’s school: | | | | |
| MARK OVERALL GRADE | | | N | A | | | | M | | | E | | Comments: | | | | |

For the purpose of national external moderation:

* only six WORD templates are required where available
* samples are not required to be randomly selected
* there should be one each of N, A, M, E and up to 2 others
* descriptions of evidence and explanations of judgements are not required for all other students, and a spreadsheet may be used.