



## NZQA Assessment Support Material

<b>Unit standard</b>	<b>27849</b>				
<b>Title</b>	Evaluate a law making process in relation to a significant legal issue				
<b>Level</b>	3	<b>Credits</b>	4	<b>Version</b>	2

### Student guidelines

#### Introduction

This assessment requires you to evaluate the law making processes used to create the laws which established the crimes, courts, and procedures for the Nuremburg Trials at the conclusion of World War II.

#### AWARD OF GRADES



- For award with **Achieved**, candidates must be able to evaluate aspect(s) of the law making process that prompted debate or disagreement, with supporting detail, in relation to the significant legal issue. The supporting detail includes: background, relevant names, dates, describing differing viewpoints, describing one strength and one weakness of the process.
- For award with **Merit**, the evaluation of the aspect(s) of the law making process that prompted debate or disagreement is developed by:
  - discussing one strength and one weakness of the law making process; and
  - discussing a range of differing viewpoints about the law making process.

## AWARD OF GRADES - CONTINUATION



- For award with **Excellence**, the evaluation of the aspect(s) of the law making process is fully developed by considering actual and/or possible consequences. Consequences may be for any one of, but are not limited to – future law making, societal development, civic engagement, economic development, environmental development.

## CONDITIONS OF ASSESSMENT

Assessors will set the conditions of assessment as appropriate.

### Assessment Activity

This assessment activity has one task.

#### Task one

For this activity, you must complete a report that evaluates the key controversial features of the process through which laws defining war crimes and crimes against humanity were created for the Nuremburg Trials.

Your evaluation should:

- include supporting detail such as background details, relevant names, dates;
- discuss at least one strength, and at least one weakness of the process, and a range of differing viewpoints about the law making process;
- consider actual and/or possible consequences derived from the process through which the laws were created for the Nuremburg Trials.